Case 2:16-cv-07603-FMO-JC Document 85 Filed 03/15/17 Page 1 of 11 Page DEB: 1299 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MAR 1 5 2017

	NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES	
To: MUS District Indge / U.S. I	Magistrate Judge FERNANDO M. OLGUIN	CENTRAL DISTRICE CALIFORNIA EY DEPUTY
From: Vanessa Figueroa , Deputy Clerk Date Received: 3/13/17		
Case No.: CV 16-7603 FMO (JCx)	Case Title: Borsotti v. Bray, et al.	
Document Entitled: Ruling on Defe		
Document Entitled. Runnig on Dete	Induit of Artonia in the Induity of Induity of Induity of Induity of Induity of Induity of Indui	
Upon the submission of the attached	document(s), it was noted that the following discrepance	es exist:
☐ Local Rule 5-4.1	Documents must be filed electronically	
☑ Local Rule 6-1	Written notice of motion lacking or timeliness of not	
☐ Local Rule 7-19.1	Notice to other parties of ex parte application lacking	
☐ Local Rule 7.1-1	No Certification of Interested Parties and/or no copie	es
☐ Local Rule 11-3.1	Document not legible	
☐ Løcal Rule 11-3.8	Lacking name, address, phone, facsimile numbers, an	d e-mail address
Local Rule 11-4.1	No copy provided for judge	
☐ Local Rule 11-6	Memorandum/brief exceeds 25 pages	
☐ Local Rule 11-8	Memorandum/brief exceeding 10 pages shall contain	
☐ Local Rule 15-1	Proposed amended pleading not under separate cove	<b>r</b>
☐ Local Rule 16-7	Pretrial conference order not signed by all counsel	
☐ Local Rule 19-1	Complaint/Petition includes more than 10 Does or fi	
☐ Local Rule 56-1	Statement of uncontroverted facts and/or proposed ju	
☐ Local Rule 56-2	Statement of genuine disputes of material fact lacking	3
☐ Local Rule 83-2.5	No letters to the judge	
☐ Fed. R. Civ. P. 5	No proof of service attached to document(s)	etus de Diameiro io
	ay not rule on a motion before the court. Defendant's Mo	otion to Dismiss is
fully briefe	ed and pending at this time.	
Please refer to the Court's websi	ite at www.cacd.uscourts.gov for Local Rules, General O	rders, and applicable forms.
	ORDER OF THE JUDGE/MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:	ONDER OF THE JUDGE MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
The document is to be filed a "received but not filed" with the lead to penalties pursuant to Lo	nd processed. The filing date is ORDERED to be the case Clerk. Counsel* is advised that any further failure to cocal Rule 83-7.	late the document was stamped comply with the Local Rules may
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Date	U.S. District Judge / U.S. Magistrat	te Judge
immediately notify, in writing,	filed, but instead <b>REJECTED</b> , and is ORDERED return all parties previously served with the attached document	ned to counsel.* Counsel* shall ts that said documents have not
been filed with the Court.	U.S. District Judge / U.S. Magisfrat	- Index
Date		c juuge
* The term "counsel" as used hereir	also includes any pro se party. See Local Rule 1-3.	
COPY 1 -ORIGINAL-OFFICE	COPY 2 -JUDGE COPY 3 -SIGNED & RETURNED TO FILER	COPY 4 -FILER RECEIPT
CV-104A (06/13)	NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES	

CV-104A (06/13)